

**APPLICANT:** Avner SHAFRIR, et al.  
**SERIAL NO.:** 09/580,904  
**DOCKET NO.:** 23452-110  
**CUSTOMER No.:** 29315

**Remarks**

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to the Applicants' representative during the telephone conference of November 3, 2003.

A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is concurrently filed herewith.

A Request for an Examiner Interview Under 37 C.F.R § 1.133 is also concurrently filed herewith. The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney to arrange a personal interview.

Claims 1-29 are all the claims pending in this application. Selected claims are amended to clarify and more particularly indicate the claimed subject matter. The amendments are made for the purpose of expediting prosecution and are not made to overcome claim rejections. Reconsideration and allowance of all of the rejected claims are respectfully requested in view of the foregoing remarks.

***Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102***

Claims 1-29 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as allegedly being anticipated by "Chatting in Style," University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, School of Information and Library Science. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection on the following basis.

Independent claims 1, 6, 13, 18, 26, 27, 28 and 29 recite the feature of presenting one or more user indicators within the at least one electronic document, wherein the at least one electronic document is generated using any of a plurality of applications, among other things. In an exemplary embodiment, network users may determine the status of other network users wherever a user indicator is presented within electronic documents created by any application of

**APPLICANT:** Avner SHAFRIR, et al.  
**SERIAL NO.:** 09/580,904  
**DOCKET NO.:** 23452-110  
**CUSTOMER NO.:** 29315

the system, wherein the electronic documents may be e-mail, an HTML document or any other electronic document (see the specification at page 5, lines 25-30).

In contrast, the portion of “Chatting in Style” relied on by the Examiner discloses a drop down window having status indicators, wherein the drop down window is generated by the ICQ application (see page 2 of “Chatting in Style”). There is no teaching or suggestion in “Chatting in Style” for enabling any other application to generate the drop down window that provides the status indicators. As a result, Applicants claimed subject matter is distinguished from “Chatting in Style,” which fails to teach or suggest presenting one or more user indicators within the at least one electronic document, wherein the at least one electronic document is generated using any of a plurality of applications.


In view of the comments above, Applicants respectfully submit that the cited reference does not satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §102(a). Thus, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested. Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance and such disposition is earnestly solicited.

**APPLICANT:** Avner SHAFRIR, et al.  
**SERIAL NO.:** 09/580,904  
**DOCKET NO.:** 23452-110  
**CUSTOMER NO.:** 29315

In the event that it is determined that additional fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-0311.

Dated: November 10, 2003

Respectfully submitted,



Sean L. Ingram  
Registration No.: 48,283  
(for James G. Gatto, Reg. No. 32,694)  
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND  
POPEO P.C.  
12010 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 900  
Reston, Virginia 20190  
703-464-8140

**Customer No.**

**29315**